## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTFILED

for the

	Eastern District of	California	OCT 28 2021	
United States of America v.  ALBERTO ARRIAGA VELAZQU  Defendant	) ) JEZ )	Case No.	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT OF CA  EASTERN DISTRICT OF CA  2:21-mj-00165-KJN  2.1 mj00165-KJN	COURT
ORDER (	OF DETENTION	N PENDIN	G TRIAL	
	Part I - Eligibility f	or Detention		
Upon the				
Motion of the Government a  Motion of the Government of the Court held a detention hearing and found and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.  Part II - Findings of	or Court's own motion I that detention is wa S.C. § 3142(i), in add	n pursuant to rranted. This dition to any	o 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), s order sets forth the Court's findi other findings made at the hearing	
(a) a crime of violence, a vio	cination of conditions ing conditions have to the one of the following violation of 18 U.S.C. In a maximum term of the maximum term of int (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-9 or Chapter 705 of Titles on has been convicted agraph, or two or more (a) through (c) of the a combination of sutherwise a crime of violations.	will reasonate on met:  ng crimes desc. § 1591, or of imprisonment prisonment (904), the Core 46, U.S.C. and of two or in the State or localist paragraphics of fenses; violence but in the core of th	scribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. ent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 10 years or more is prescribed atrolled Substances Import and Ex (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or more offenses described in subparcal offenses that would have been if a circumstance giving rise to For involves:	person  bed; or  in the sport Act ragraphs offenses Federal
(iii) any other dangerous v	veapon; or (iv) a failty been convicted of a cal offense that would ed; and	re to registe Federal offe d have been s	ctive device (as defined in 18 U.S. r under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and ense that is described in 18 U.S.C. such an offense if a circumstance fendant has been convicted was	
	-	-	Federal, State, or local offense; a	

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:  (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years
or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties  Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
I DANK OF STERRINGRIC COMMINING OF TAILBLY LICE AN URS DISCIPLE

## Case 2:21-cr-00217-KJM Document 12 Filed 10/28/21 Page 3 of 3

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative or confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or eing held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person

in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance

DENNIS M. COTA, United States Magistrate Judge

in connection with a court proceeding.

10/28/2021

Date: